

## IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

In re application of:

Spencer Lambert

Serial Number:

10/045,434

Filed:

November 7, 2001

Group:

2683

Examiner:

Doan, Kiet M

For:

REMOTE TARGET CONTROL SYSTEM

Attorney Docket:

1405.ACTI.NP

Mail Stop Amendment Fee Commissioner for Patents

P.O. Box 1450

Alexandria, VA 22313,1450

I hereby certify that this correspondence is being deposited with the United States Postal Service wia First (Class) Mail addressed to:

Mail Stop Amendment Fee

Commissioner for Patents

P.O. Box 1450

Alexandria, VA 22313,1450 on ...

igned Kandall At

## **RESPONSE**

Dear Sir:

In response to the copy of the provisional patent application of Rosa et al. mailed February 9, 2005 and the Final Office Action dated December 10, 2004, Applicant hereby submits the declaration of Spencer Lambert. As demonstrated in the declaration, the inventors conceived the invention and reduced it to practice prior to the filing date of Rosa et al. (Provisional). Therefore, Rosa et al. should be withdrawn as a reference and the claims found allowable.

Applicants maintain their objection to the reliance on the Rosa et al. publication as prior art against the application. It is the Rosa et al. provisional which should have been identified as the prior art, as it was the only filing which preceded Applicants' priority date, and the last office action should not have been made final. However, with the enclosed declaration, neither Rosa et al. application is prior art against the application and all claims should be allowed.

The Commissioner is hereby authorized to charge any amount owing or to credit any overpayment, including a one month small entity extension, to Account No. 502720.

Dated this 11 day of April, 2005

Randall B. Bateman

Reg. No. 37,774

BATEMAN IP LAW GROUP 8 East Broadway, Suite 550

P.O. Box 1319

Salt Lake City, UT 84110